Special Section Mentally Ill Populations in Jails and Prisons: A Misuse of Resources

INTRODUCTION

Paula G. Panzer, M.D., Nahama Broner, Ph.D., and

The American priose system is intended as a method of incorrectation and positionness, but writer of its posphina it is serving as an and positionness, but writer of its posphina it is serving as an extra prior of the prior o

This national tread has implications for prions services, diversites of the mentally ill bum incarrectation to the cummunity, coordinates and targeted services softer incarrectation, and prevention. To address and the American Association of Psychiatric Administratory and the American Association of Psychiatric Administratory and the American Association of Psychiatric Administratory and the American and the American Association of Psychiatric Administratory and the American Association of Psychiatric Administratory and the American Association of Psychiatric Association (Association Association Ass

administrators, clinicians, researchers, policy makers, and consumers to review best practices and research for positive change to forensic and community mental health systems. The three articles in this spe-

cial section arise from this conference.

James Gilligan provides an illuminating historical perspective.

Drawing from his scholarly work and rich professional experiences, he probes the implications of using prisons and jails as the primary institution for mental health care. He explores the social and political underpinnings of this phenomenon and provides concrete recommendations for programmatic and social change.

One model of changes is Project Link in Mouroe County, New York, described by J. Steven Lamberti and colleagues in the second paper, Lamberti, et al. elaborate the potential advantage of substantial funding and thoughtfully integrated services. As the authors preent preliminary evaluation data of their pianeering program, they point to how enhanced residential care, intensive case management, and psychiatric expertise can present recidivism by mentally ill offenders.

Creating successful services for the mentally III, substance using, effective population requires callaboration by many constituencies. To move a community's system of care toward this goal, a bread base of consumers, practitioners, researchers, and policy makers need to share espertise through a formal democratic process. In this section's third paper, Mahama Resour and colleague-describe a model of consensus building and problem solving that makes possible an infar-structure supporting the provision of public mental basels and substantial and substantial to the substantial process.

These three articles reflect an historical pessimism regarding care for this disenfranchised population. Theoretical and practice-based approaches are presented as examples for more enlightened services.

DEPENDENCES

- Lamb HR, Weinberger, LE: Persons with severe mental illness in jails and prisons: A review. Psychiatric Services, 49:41-483-492, 1998.
- Torrey EF, Striker J, Ezekiel J, Welfs SM, Sharfstein J, & Flynn LM: Criminalizing the mentally ill: The abuse of julks as mental hospitals. Innovations & Research, 2, 11-14. Washington. DC: Public Citizen's Health Research Group. 1993
- Michaels D, Zaloth SR, Alenbee P, Brasisov CA, Solyer S, Homelessness as indicators of mental illness among insuntes in New York City's correctional system. Hospital and Community Psychiatry. 48: 250–255, 1992.