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The past 20 years have seen significant increases in the numbers of individuals incarcerated or under other forms of criminal justice supervision in the United States. These numbers are staggering—approximately 7.1 million adults in the United States are under some form of criminal justice supervision. The large increase in the criminal justice population reflects in part tougher laws and penalties for drug offenses. An estimated one-half of all prisoners (including some sentenced for other than drug offenses) meet the criteria for diagnosis of drug abuse or dependence (Table 1).3,4

During the past 20 years, fundamental advances in the neurobiology of addiction have been made. Molecular and imaging studies have revealed addiction as a brain disorder with a strong genetic component, and this has galvanized research on new pharmacological treatments. However, a large disconnect remains between addiction research and the treatment of addiction in general, particularly within the criminal justice system. This is evidenced in that most prisoners (80%-85%) who could benefit from drug abuse treatment do not receive it.3,4 In addition, drug-using offenders are at high risk for infectious diseases such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis C and frequently have comorbid psychiatric disorders,6,7 which further highlights the dire treatment needs of this population.

Not treating a drug-abusing offender is a missed opportunity to simultaneously improve both public health and safety. Integrating treatment into the criminal justice system would provide treatment to individuals who otherwise would not receive it, improving their medical outcomes and decreasing their rates of reincarceration.8

Recidivism in the Drug-Abusing Offender

The inadequacy of incarceration by itself in addressing drug abuse or addiction is evident in the statistics. A review of recidivism in 15 states found that one-quarter of individuals released returned to prison within 3 years for technical violations that included, among other things, testing positive for drug use.9 Illicit drugs are used in jails and prisons despite their highly structured, controlled environments,10 but even enforced abstinence can mislead criminal justice professionals as well as addicted persons to underestimate the vulnerability to relapse postincarceration. On release from prison or jail, addicted persons will experience challenges to their sobriety through multiple stressors that increase their risk of re-
Drug Abuse or Dependence consistently reported the beneficial effects of treatment for the drug abuser following release. Research over the last 2 decades has focused on the positives of treatment following incarceration. It is well-known that drug-addicted individuals rapidly redevelop the desire for drugs when reexposed to drug cues. The molecular and neurobiological adaptations resulting from chronic drug use persist for months after drug discontinuation, and evidence exists that compulsive seeking of drugs when addicted individuals are reexposed to drug cues progressively increases after drug withdrawal. This could explain why many drug-addicted individuals repeatedly return to drug use following long periods of abstinence during incarceration and highlights the need for ongoing treatment following release.

Drug Abuse Treatment Effectiveness in the Criminal Justice System

Research over the last 2 decades has consistently reported the beneficial effects of treatment for the drug abuser in the criminal justice system. These interventions include therapeutic alternatives to incarceration, treatment merged with judicial oversight in drug courts, prison- and jail-based treatments, and reentry programs intended to help offenders transition from incarceration back into the community. Through monitoring, supervision, and threat of legal sanctions, the justice system can provide leverage to encourage drug abusers to enter and remain in treatment.

Behavioral treatments are the most commonly used interventions for addressing substance use disorders. Evidence-based behavioral interventions include cognitive therapies that teach coping and decision-making skills, contingency management therapies that reinforce behavioral changes associated with abstinence, and motivational therapies that enhance the motivation to participate in treatment and in non-drug-related activities. Many residential treatment programs rely on the creation of a “therapeutic community” based on a social learning model. Medications such as methadone, buprenorphine, and naltrexone are beneficial for the treatment of heroin addiction and naltrexone and topiramate for the treatment of alcoholism. Self-help programs such as Alcoholics Anonymous or SMART Recovery can be valuable adjuncts to formal drug treatment.

Research has consistently shown that community-based drug abuse treatment can reduce drug use and drug-related criminal behavior. A meta-analysis of 78 comparison-group community-based drug treatment studies found treatment to be up to 1.8 times better in reducing drug use than the usual alternatives. In a meta-analysis of 66 incarceration-based treatment evaluations, therapeutic community and counseling approaches were respectively 1.4 and 1.5 times more likely to reduce reoffending. Drug courts combine judicial supervision with drug treatment as an alternative to incarceration; their graduates have lower rates about half those of matched comparison samples and much lower than those of drug court dropouts. Individuals who participated in prison-based treatment followed by a community-based program postincarceration were 7 times more likely to be drug free and 3 times less likely to be arrested for criminal behavior than those not receiving treatment.

The benefits of medications for drug treatment were shown in a recent randomized trial in which heroin-dependent inmates began methadone treatment in prison prior to release and continued in the community postrelease. At 1-, 3-, and 6-month follow-up, patients who received methadone plus counseling were significantly less likely to use heroin or engage in criminal activity than those who received only counseling. The potential exists for immediate adoption of methadone maintenance for incarcerated persons with opioid addictions, but most prison systems have not been receptive to this approach.

Economic analyses highlight the cost-effectiveness of treating drug-involved offenders. On average, in-
carceration in the United States costs approximately $22,000 per month, and there is little evidence that this strategy reduces drug use or drug-related reincarceration rates for nonviolent drug offenders. By contrast, the average cost of methadone is $4000 per month, and treatment with methadone has demonstrated effectiveness in reducing drug use and criminal activity following release. Alternatives to incarceration can also defray job productivity losses and the separation from family and social support systems.

The cost of integrating volunteer-led self-help organizations such as Alcoholics Anonymous and Narcotics Anonymous into criminal justice settings is nominal and could provide support to the recovery efforts of addicted persons in the criminal justice system. One dollar spent on drug courts is estimated to save approximately $4 in avoided costs of incarceration and health care, and prison-based treatment saves between $2 to $6. These economic benefits in part reflect reductions in criminal behavior.

### Access to Treatment

Drug education—not drug treatment—is the most common service provided to prisoners with drug abuse or addiction problems. More than one-quarter of state inmates and one in five federal inmates meeting abuse/dependence criteria partake in self-help groups such as Alcoholics Anonymous while in prison. However, though treatment during and after incarceration has been shown to significantly reduce drug use and drug-related crime, less than 20% of inmates with drug abuse or dependence receive formal treatment (Table 1). In a recent survey of correctional programs and organizations across the United States, most correctional agencies reported providing some type of drug abuse treatment services; however, the median percentage of offenders who had access to those services at any given time was low, usually less than 10% (Table 2). Even if a correctional institution does provide treatment, the continuity of treatment postincarceration, which is essential to recovery, is often lacking when the drug-involved offender transitions from incarceration to community supervision. Failure to receive treatment on release increases the risk not only of relapse but also of mortality from drug overdose and other causes. Infectious diseases such as HIV and hepatitis C are associated with illicit drug use and occur at higher rates in correctional populations than in the general population, but treatment for these conditions appears to fall short of need. It is feasible to implement screening and treatment in correctional settings for HIV and hepatitis C. Continuity of treatment for released offenders with infectious disease is crucial not only for the individual’s health but also for the health of the community.

There are many barriers to treatment for the drug-involved offender, including lack of the resources, infrastructure, and treatment staff (including physicians knowledgeable about addiction medicine) required to meet the drug treatment needs of individuals under their supervision. Addiction remains a stigmatized disease not often regarded by the criminal justice system.

### Table 2. Access to Health, Mental Health, and Substance Abuse Treatment Services in Correctional Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Prisons (n = 98)</th>
<th>Jails (n = 57)</th>
<th>Community Corrections (n = 134)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical/mental health services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV testing</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>73.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV/AIDS counseling</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C testing</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>74.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health assessment</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health counseling</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacological treatment</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other medications for substance use disorder</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication for mental illness</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detoxification</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol/drug education</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient counseling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤4 h/wk</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5 h/wk</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic community</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; NA, not applicable.
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tem as a medical condition; as a con-
sequence, treatment is not constitu-
tionally guaranteed as is the treatment
of other medical conditions.

Neurobiology of Addiction
Addiction is a chronic brain disease for
which genetic factors are believed to con-
tribute 40% to 60% of the vulnerabil-
ity. Repeated drug exposure in indi-
viduals who are vulnerable (because of
genetics, or developmental or environ-
mental factors) trigger neuroadapt-
tions in the brain that result in the com-
pulsive drug use and loss of control over
drug-related behaviors that character-
izes addiction. Molecular and neuroim-
aging studies have helped illuminate how
genes may affect vulnerability to addic-
tion and how repeated use of addictive
drugs causes long-lasting disruptions to
the structure and function of the brain.

Among the genes identified to contrib-
ute to the vulnerability for addiction are
those that participate in the neuroplas-
tic changes associated with learning. Imaging studies have identified mul-
tiple brain circuits that are disrupted in
addicted persons; these include cir-
cuits involved in reward and motiva-
tion, learning and memory, cognitive
control, mood, and interoception (aware-
ness of physiological body signals)
(FIGURE). Disruption of these circuits
impairs the addicted person’s ability to
inhibit intentional actions or to con-
trol strong emotions and desires and
also increases the likelihood that the in-
dividual will have difficulties making
adaptive decisions.

Addiction also decreases sensitivity in
the reward and the motivational cir-
cuits, which modulate response to posi-
tive as well as negative reinforcers. Prac-
tically, this suggests that an addicted
individual may experience less motiva-
tion to pursue activities likely to result
in beneficial outcomes and to avoid those
that could result in punishment. One can
also predict that dysfunction in this neu-
rocircuitry would reduce an addicted
person’s motivation to abstain from drug
use because alternative reinforcers (natu-
ral stimuli) are comparatively weaker and
negative consequences (eg, incarcera-
tion) are less salient.

In parallel, the repeated use of drugs
leads to the formation of new linked
memories that condition the addicted
individual to expect pleasurable
responses—not only when exposed to a
drug but also when exposed to stimuli
associated with the drug. These stimuli
trigger automatic responses that fre-
quently drive relapse, even in individu-
als motivated to stop taking drugs.

**Figure. Proposed Network of Brain Circuits Involved With Addiction**

**A** Nonaddicted individual
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**B** Addicted individual
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Circuits work together and change with experience. Each is linked to an important concept: reward (saliency), motivation (drive), memory (learning associations), inhibitory control (conflict resolution), mood (well-being), and interoception (internal awareness). Size of circuit ovals indicates influence in determining behavioral outcomes. Thicker line weights indicate greater influence on regulation of the circuit. A, In a nonaddicted person the decision to consume a drug (same process pertains for natural rewards) is a function of the balance between the expected pleasure (based on past experience or memory), alternative stimuli (this includes internal states such as mood and interoception but also alternative external rewards), and potential negative outcomes that oppose the motivation to take the drug (inhibitory control exerted by prefrontal cortex) and stop the drug use. B, During addiction, the enhanced value of the drug in the reward, motivation, and memory circuits overcomes the inhibitory control exerted by the prefrontal cortex, thereby favoring a positive feedback loop initiated by the consumption of the drug and perpetuated by enhanced activation of the motivation/drive and memory circuits. Decreased sensitivity to rewards also raises the hedonic threshold, disrupting mood and increasing the saliency values of drugs and behaviors temporarily associated with relief from the dysphoria. Learning and conditioning result in an enhanced interoceptive awareness of dis-
comfort and the associated desire for the drug (craving). Absence of lines from inhibitory control circuit to reward and motivation circuits indicates loss of regulation.
enhanced sensitivity to drugs as rewards and the conditioning to associated drug cues increase the interoceptive awareness of discomfort (anxiety and tension) that occurs when the individual is exposed to drug cues and increase the desire to consume the drug.\textsuperscript{64} Additionally, repeated drug use also affects brain regions implicated in mood and anxiety, which could explain the high rate of addiction comorbid with dysphoria, depression, or both and the vulnerability of the addicted person to relapse when exposed to social stressors.\textsuperscript{75,66}

Impairment of the neural substrates affected by addiction—particularly those concerned with behavioral inhibition, control of emotions and desires, and decision-making—increase the likelihood that addicted individuals will make choices that appear impulsive.\textsuperscript{67,68} This idea is supported by research in the emerging area of behavioral economics, which has found that addicted individuals differ from those who do not use drugs in how they make decisions. Addicted individuals tend to have higher levels of temporal discounting than those who do not use drugs; ie, they tend to choose immediate, smaller rewards over future, larger rewards.\textsuperscript{69} High temporal discounting is also associated with impulsivity—the inability to delay immediate gratification and to recognize the potential for negative consequences.\textsuperscript{70}

Many of the neurobiological changes associated with repeated drug use persist for long periods after drug discontinuation.\textsuperscript{71} This helps explain why addicted individuals who have ceased drug use are at high risk of relapse and provides neurobiological support for the recognition of addiction as a chronic relapsing disease.\textsuperscript{72}

What are the implications of neuroscience research for how society and clinicians might regard the addicted offender? There are at least 3 implications for how this emerging knowledge about the neurologic basis of addictive behavior is important.

First, of most importance, neuroscience’s uncovering of new molecular targets implicated in the responses to drugs and of new knowledge on the function of the human brain provides new targets for medication development and behavioral interventions in addiction. Although many of the neurobiological changes associated with repeated drug use persist for long periods after drug discontinuation,\textsuperscript{71} research suggests that the impaired brain can regain some of the functions damaged by use of illicit drugs over time.\textsuperscript{73}

Second, neuroscience establishes a biological framework for understanding aspects of addictive behavior that otherwise seem to defy rational explanation. In the absence of known biological determinants, these behaviors often have been attributed to “moral weakness.”\textsuperscript{74} Identifying the neurologic factors underlying addictive behavior can place these moral arguments into a more reasoned context. Addiction does not absolve one of responsibility for use of illicit drugs or for criminal behavior, but understanding how addictive drugs affect behavior through brain mechanisms can inform decisions to provide treatment to addicted individuals. For example, mandated treatment may be useful for drug-involved offenders who would otherwise not engage in the treatment process or make progress toward recovery. The persistence of neurologic deficits provides support for the recognition of addiction as a chronic disease and highlights the need for the same continuity of care so important in treatment of other chronic diseases (eg, asthma, hypertension).\textsuperscript{75} It also suggests that agonist medications such as methadone are important treatments for addiction, even for individuals who have been under enforced abstinence during incarceration.

Third, neuroscience may help addicted individuals to better understand the implications of neurologic change for their behavior and to make choices that are consistent with their goals, based on an understanding of how addiction affects brain function and behavior. Many addicted individuals have difficulty integrating and relating new information about how their brains function to their life experiences, so new knowledge about brain functioning may provide a framework that helps addicted individuals to understand and relate to the new information received through treatment.

### Box. NIDA Principles of Drug Abuse Treatment for Criminal Justice Populations

Drug addiction is a chronic brain disease that affects behavior

Recovery from drug addiction requires effective treatment, followed by continued care

Duration of treatment should be sufficiently long to produce stable behavioral changes

Assessment is the first step in treatment

Tailoring services to fit the needs of the individual is an important part of effective drug abuse treatment for criminal justice populations

Drug use during treatment should be carefully monitored

Treatment should target factors associated with criminal behavior

Criminal justice supervision should incorporate treatment planning for drug-abusing offenders, and treatment providers should be aware of correctional supervision requirements

Continuity of care is essential for drug abusers reentering the community

A balance of rewards and sanctions encourages prosocial behavior and treatment participation

Offenders with co-occurring drug abuse and mental health problems often require an integrated treatment approach

Medications are an important part of treatment for many drug-abusing offenders

Treatment planning for drug-abusing offenders living in or reentering the community should include strategies to prevent and treat serious, chronic medical conditions such as human immunodeficiency virus/AIDS, hepatitis B and C, and tuberculosis

NIDA indicates National Institute on Drug Abuse. Principles adapted from Fletcher and Chandler.\textsuperscript{75}
stand their own addiction. Such individuals may become frustrated when their efforts to control their own drug use are unsuccessful, and even with treatment many become frustrated with what is often a slow and tenuous recovery process. The neurobiology of the brain can help the addicted individual put this disease into a more understandable context and thereby facilitate effective treatment. Little research has been conducted in the field of addiction on whether knowing more about the substance use disorder is useful in helping to sustain recovery, and more research is needed. However, the concept of the “expert patient” who serves as his or her own best health advocate in a recovery management paradigm has been promoted for chronic disorders. As with these other illnesses, addiction must be managed by the individual over time to sustain recovery.

Principles of Drug Abuse Treatment for Offenders. Principles of Drug Abuse Treatment for Criminal Justice Populations,75 published by the National Institute on Drug Abuse, synthesizes research on drug abuse treatment for drug abusers in the criminal justice system. It is intended as a resource for criminal justice professionals and the treatment community working with drug abusers involved with the system. The publication summarizes 20 years of research to provide guidance on evidence-based practices and identifies general principles on how to effectively address the drug abuse problems of populations involved with the criminal justice system (Box).75

Implementing the Principles. Effective interventions depend on a coordinated response between criminal justice agencies, drug abuse treatment providers, mental health and physical health care organizations, and social service agencies. Each type of criminal justice agency (eg, jail, drug court, probation, prison) has its own role in sanctioning and supervision and lends itself to specific intervention opportunities. TABLE 3 provides a simplified overview of the criminal justice system and identifies the points at which intervention is possible.

Table 3. Intervention Opportunities in Criminal Justice Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Offender Event</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Intervention Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entry</td>
<td>Arrest</td>
<td>Crime victim</td>
<td>Screening or referral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Police</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecution</td>
<td>Court</td>
<td>Crime victim</td>
<td>Diversion programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pretrial release</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Drug courts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jail</td>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>Community-based treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Judge</td>
<td>TASC*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjudication</td>
<td>Trial</td>
<td>Prosecutor</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Defense attorney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Defendant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentencing</td>
<td>Fines</td>
<td>Jury</td>
<td>Drug court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td>Terms of incarceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incarceration</td>
<td>Judge</td>
<td>Release conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrections</td>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>Probation officers</td>
<td>Screening and treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jail</td>
<td>Correctional personnel</td>
<td>for substance use disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prison</td>
<td></td>
<td>Screening and treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for other mental illnesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Screening and treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community reentry</td>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>Probation or parole officer</td>
<td>Drug treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parole Release</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aftercare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community-based providers</td>
<td>Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medical care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Halfway house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TASC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FBI, Federal Bureau of Investigation; NA, not applicable; TASC, Treatment Accountability for Safer Communities.

*Interventions of the TASC organization are based on a case management model for integrating criminal justice and drug abuse treatment services.

Effective integration of drug treatment interventions into criminal justice settings requires matching the intervention to the organization. For example, since jail stays are usually brief, the interventions best suited to jails may be screening for drug and alcohol abuse, other mental illnesses, and medical conditions (eg, HIV, hepatitis B or C), with referral to community-based treatment providers. Implementing these principles throughout the criminal justice and drug abuse treatment systems also requires that these systems work together to address the addicted individual’s drug use, comorbid mental disorders and medical conditions, if present, and criminal behavior. Treatment professionals should understand the criminal justice process and the supervision requirements of their patients. In addition to addressing drug use behaviors, treatment outcomes improve when antisocial and criminal behaviors are targets of clinical intervention.76 Criminal justice professionals must develop an understanding of addiction—signs and symptoms, treatment, and relapse—and their role in facilitating recovery.

Substance Abuse Treatment Research in Criminal Justice Settings. Prison environments are inherently coercive,77 and special safeguards have been developed to ensure that prisoners can choose freely whether to participate in biomedical research without fear of consequence. Beyond mere equipoise, clinical trials must be designed so the research is of benefit to the prisoner participant regardless of the assigned study group. Within these constraints, it is important to conduct re-
search to help improve substance abuse treatment and to assist in the successful transition of the substance abuser to the community. To facilitate research in this area, the National Institute on Drug Abuse created the Criminal Justice Drug Abuse Treatment Studies research cooperative, a network of correctional agencies linked with treatment research centers and community treatment programs.

Opiate agonist medications used for the treatment of heroin addiction such as methadone and buprenorphine are underused in correctional populations. Naltrexone, an opiate antagonist, was developed to treat heroin addiction but also has been approved for treating alcoholism. Naltrexone is likely to be more acceptable in the criminal justice setting than agonist medications. However, the poor compliance with naltrexone has limited its use in the treatment of heroin addiction. The recent development of a long-lasting depot formulation for naltrexone obviates this limitation, and a multisite clinical trial (NCT00781898) is currently evaluating its effectiveness in heroin-addicted probationers. Another area of research intended to reduce relapse in addicted offenders is the development of vaccines against cocaine, methamphetamine, or heroin.

Several avenues currently exist for providing drug abuse treatment as an alternative to incarceration. Drug courts were intended to provide a bridge between drug treatment and adjudication; from the first drug court established in Miami in 1989, drug courts have increased in number to nearly 2000 today. States such as Arizona, California, and New York have created treatment alternatives to incarceration for first-time drug offenders, juvenile offenders, and others. Many states are coming under political pressure to reduce the costs associated with incarceration by diverting nonviolent drug offenders to treatment.

Conclusions

Punishment alone is a futile and ineffective response to drug abuse, failing as a public safety intervention for offenders whose criminal behavior is directly related to drug use. Addiction is a chronic brain disease with a strong genetic component that in most instances requires treatment. The increase in the number of drug-abusing offenders highlights the urgency to institute treatments for populations involved in the criminal justice system. It also provides a unique opportunity to intervene for individuals who would otherwise not seek treatment.

The challenge of delivering treatment in a criminal setting requires the cooperation and coordination of 2 disparate cultures: the criminal justice system organized to punish the offender and protect society and the drug abuse treatment systems organized to help the addicted individual. Addressing addiction as a disease does not remove the responsibility of the individual, which is the argument frequently used to resist recognizing and treating addiction as an illness. Rather it highlights the personal responsibility of the addicted person to seek and adhere to drug treatment and that of society to ensure that such treatment is available and based on scientific evidence. Only a small percentage of those requiring treatment for drug addiction seek help voluntarily; in light of this, the criminal justice system provides a unique opportunity to intervene and disrupt the cycle of drug use and crime in a cost-effective manner.
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